

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAUNDRA SMITH, as an individual and
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

RALEY'S, a California corporation,

Defendant.

No. 2:24-cv-03064-TLN-JDP

SAUNDRA SMITH, as an individual and
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

RALEY'S, a California corporation,

Defendant.

No. 2:25-cv-00360-AC

RELATED CASE ORDER

Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). The assignment of these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is likely to be convenient for the parties.


Relating the cases under Local Rule 123, however, merely has the result that both actions are assigned to the same judge, it does not consolidate the actions. Under the regular practice of

1 this Court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the
2 first filed action was assigned. Should either party wish to consolidate the actions, the
3 appropriate motion or stipulation must be filed.

4 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated 2:25-cv-00360-AC is
5 reassigned to Chief District Judge Troy L. Nunley and Magistrate Judge Jeremy D. Peterson, and
6 the caption shall read 2:25-cv-00360-TLN-JDP. Any dates currently set in 2:25-cv-00360-AC are
7 hereby VACATED. The Clerk of the Court is to issue the Initial Pretrial Scheduling Order.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED: March 25, 2025

10 
11 _____
12 Troy L. Nunley
13 Chief United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28